

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,397	01/23/2002	Shinobu Kayama	68214	3926
·23373	7590 07/10/2003			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	SYLVANIA AVENUE, N. TON, DC 20037	W.	MCCAMEY, ANN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6,410			
Advisory Action	10/052,397	KAYAMA ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ann M McCamey	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appr originally set in the final ling date of the final rejec	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	k(s) a)  will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	<del>)⊡ will be ontered</del> . <del>w or append</del> ed.	a <del>nd an</del>			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exami	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	_			
10. Other:						
		The state of the s				
		RENEE LU PRIMARY EX				

Application No. 10/052,397

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. For example, the fact that projection of Wang's Fig. 2 is not called an "attachment arm" is merely a matter of semantics and is not given weight. Furthermore, the side surface is not given a reference point, i.e. relative to the attachment surface, and thus the reference reads on the claims.